

An Introduction to RDM Services

S. <u>Venkat</u>araman Research Data Specialist Digital Curation Centre

The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, 19th March 2019

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 2.5 UK: Scotland License

Annual RDM survey issued by DCC

- 60 UK Higher Education Institutions responded to DCC survey 2015, of 132 invited
- Research-active institutions well represented



Briefing and links to data: http://www.dcc.ac.uk/survey2015



Who has what in place?



% indicating 'rolling out' or 'embedding' * referred to 'access & storage systems' in survey



About University of Edinburgh

- Founded 1583
- 39,576 students
- 14,346 postgraduate students
- 6,816 academic staff
- Research income: £253.9 million (2015-16)
- Mission: the creation, dissemination and curation of knowledge
- 20 schools in 3 colleges:
- Arts, Humanities & Social Sciences
- Medicine & Veterinary Medicine
- Science & Engineering



© www.nealesmith.com





About Information Services



Argyle House © CoStar

- Applications
- IT Infrastructure
- Learning, Teaching & Web
- Library & University Collections
- User Services
- Information Security
- EDINA
- Digital Curation Centre

Adapted from R. Rice



Where to start?

Policy sets service scope and can unlock funds

- Important elements
 - Clearly stated coverage and requirements
 - Well defined roles and responsibilities
 - Research data definition
- Institutional policy should reflect the external context



External context and drivers



data are a public good and should be openly available

- Findoble
- Accessib]e
- [aleroperable
- Beosable





Open Research Data pilot

G8 Science Ministers Statement

Panton Principles

Principles for Open Data in Science

"For science to effectively function, and for society to reap the full benefits from scientific endeavours, it is crucial that science data be made open"



FAIR data principles



Data policy trends

- Proliferation of policies
 - Make the landscape easier for researchers to navigate
 - More harmonisation needed
 - Clarifications needed when requirements conflict
- Growth in open data policies
 - Should push open science agenda but not at expense of RDM
- Research data policies often 'aspirational' and high-level
 - Need for more group guidelines and practical procedures
 - More researcher input when developing services & infrastructure



Early UK research data policies



Statement of commitment"
→ Infrastructure → policy



University of Hertfordshire

legal tone / language a section in uni DM policy useful guide as appendix



"10 commandments" mutual promises aspirational

Baseline of RCUK Code + procedures & support Based on Edin. With a few additions



UK policy examples

| | Date | Definitions | Support | DMP | Scope |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------------|
| HE Institution in chronological order | Date the policy was adopted | Offers definitions of key RDM terms, i.e. "data", "documentation" | Broadly defines the role institution plays in supporting RDM and outlines RDM responsibility of researchers | Specifies a requirement to complete a DMP (either institutional or funder) | Policy specifies who it covers |
| University of Birmingham | 2009 October | 0 | 0 | 0 | • |
| University of Edinburgh | 2011 May | 0 | • | • | 0 |
| University of Northampton | 2011 June | • | • | 0 | • |
| University of Hertfordshire | 2011 September | • | • | • | • |
| University of Warwick | 2011 November | 0 | • | 0 | • |
| Glyndwr University | 2011 December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| University of Southampton | 2012 February | • | 0 | 0 | • |
| University of East London | 2012 March | 0 | • | • | 0 |
| Brunel University | 2012 March | 0 | • | • | • |
| University of Essex | 2012 April | 0 | • | • | • |
| Queen Mary, University of London | 2012 June | • | • | • | • |
| University of Sheffield | 2012 July | • | • | • | • |
| University of Leeds | 2012 July | 0 | • | • | 0 |
| University of Oxford | 2012 July | • | • | • | • |
| University of the Arts London | 2012 October | • | • | 0 | 0 |
| Goldsmiths University | 2013 January | 0 | • | • | 0 |
| The University of Lancaster | 2013 February | • | • | • | • |
| University for the Creative Arts | 2013 February | 0 | • | • | 0 |
| | | | | | |

www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/policy-and-legal/institutional-data-policies





Analysis of what policies cover

Laurence Horton did an analysis of the 31 policies listed in 2014

- 74% specify a requirement for data to be open where possible
- 74% of unis require a DMP and a further 19% point to funder requirements. Only 2 don't mention DMPs at all.
- 55% specify a length of time for which data should be retained / preserved
- 45% give a full definition of research data
- Only 23% contain a statement on institutional ownership of research data
- Again, only 23% (7 out of 31) mention RDM costs

Horton, L and DCC (2014) 'Overview of UK Institution RDM Policies', Digital Curation Centre.

Available at: <u>www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/policy-and-legal/institutional-data-policies</u>





Guides on policy development

Guidance to help institutions get started:

- Five steps to developing a research data policy
 - www.dcc.ac.uk/resources/policy-and-legal/five-steps-developingresearch-data-policy
- LEARN project guidelines for developing an RDM policy
 - <u>http://blogs.ucl.ac.uk/learn-project/files/2016/01/red_LEARN_</u>
 <u>Elements_of_the_Content_of_a_RDM_Policy.pdf</u>
- ANDS Data Management Policy outline
 - <u>http://www.ands.org.au/datamanagement/policy.html</u>







RDM Roadmap (living document)

Academic-led steering group governs the service

1st, August 2012 – May 2015: Rollout and consolidation

2nd, September 2015 – July 2016: Transition, programme to service

3rd, August 2017-July 2020: User journey, filling gaps 32 prioritised objectives with actions and deliverables



Frank da Silva on Flickr CC BY-NC-ND 2.0

Adapted from R. Rice



RDM Roadmap

- July 2010 May 2011
- In 2010 a research data storage paper was submitted to the University's IT Committee with six key recommendations for the University's data infrastructure:
 - 1. Archiving of research data
 - 2. Accessibility of research data to all virtual collaborators
 - 3. Globally-accessible, cross-platform file store
 - 4. Back up and synchronization of data on mobile devices
 - 5. Establishing networks of knowledge
 - 6. Federated structure for local data storage
- Followed by the Research Data Management Policy in May 2011 written by the IS RDM Action Group and approved by the RDM Steering Committee.



RDM Roadmap V2.0

- August 2012 July 2016
- Business Case was submitted to the University IT Committee in June 2012
- The Roadmap set out a high level plan for delivery of services across four strategic areas (image on the right):
 - To provide clear information to all University staff on the progress that has been made in delivering the RDM programme.





RDM Roadmap V3.2

• August 2017 – July 2020

New strategic area: unification of the service

- Researchers expect a seamless service experience.
- Millenials' expect the same quality of services like from Apple or Google
- The organisations are moving away from focusing on cost reduction and concentrate on delivering customer-centric experiences and innovations
- University libraries: from collection holders to service providers and towards the "open science turn".
- IF THE SERVICE ISN'T RELEVANT FOR THE PEOPLE USING THEM, THEY'LL GO ELSEWHERE!





University's RDM Policy (May, 2011)



Policy by Nick Youngson CC BY-SA 3.0 Alpha Stock

Images

- Commitment to research integrity,
 DMPs, open data
- Articulates clear responsibilities of the researcher and of the institution

Adapted from R. Rice



University's RDM Policy (May, 2011)

This policy for managing research data was approved by the University Court on 16 May, 2011.

The University adopts the following policy on Research Data Management. It is acknowledged that this is an aspirational policy, and that implementation will take some years.

- 1. Research data will be managed to the highest standards throughout the research data lifecycle as part of the University's commitment to research excellence.
- 2. Responsibility for research data management through a sound research data management plan during any research project or programme lies primarily with Principal Investigators (PIs).
- 3. All new research proposals [from date of adoption] must include research data management plans or protocols that explicitly address data capture, management, integrity, confidentiality, retention, sharing and publication.
- 4. The University will provide training, support, advice and where appropriate guidelines and templates for the research data management and research data management plans.
- 5. The University will provide mechanisms and services for storage, backup, registration, deposit and retention of research data assets in support of current and future access, during and after completion of research projects.
- 6. Any data which is retained elsewhere, for example in an international data service or domain repository should be registered with the University.
- 7. Research data management plans must ensure that research data are available for access and re-use where appropriate and under appropriate safeguards.
- 8. The legitimate interests of the subjects of research data must be protected.
- 9. Research data of future historical interest, and all research data that represent records of the University, including data that substantiate research findings, will be offered and assessed for deposit and retention in an appropriate national or international data service or domain repository, or a University repository.
- 10. Exclusive rights to reuse or publish research data should not be handed over to commercial publishers or agents without retaining the rights to make the data openly available for re-use, unless this is a condition of funding.

Related Information

- University Research Data Service
- University Research Data Roadmap



Building your approach



Establish a working group

- Good mix of representatives from operational units
- Senior management leadership



http://www.executive-coaching-services.co.uk/executive-coaching/leaders.jpg



Assess institutional context

- Number of active researchers
- Aspirations and strategic objectives

http://www.drewsmarketingminute.com/images/2014/09/Creating-A-Company-Culture.jpg

CON





Condition of the second second

Be aware of existing infrastructure

| Research Organisation Description | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| RDM profile component | Record HEI Link - insert your URLs in the space provided | Guidance | | |
| Means of raising staff awareness of funders' research data requirements | | Provide a link to an information page on funders' policies. This could be internal or external (E.g., DCC's policy overview table) | | |
| Research data policy | | Provide a link to research data policy or aspirational statement | | |
| Strategy or implementation plan for research data services | | Provide a link to research data strategy page or roadmap | | |
| RDM advice and support services | | Provide a link to page describing data management planning guidance and/or support services at this organisation | | |
| Active data storage | | Provide link(s) to active research data storage information page(s). There may be multiple options at Research Group/School/College/Central levels. | | |
| Data register or catalogue | | Provide a link to your internal research data registration homepage. This may be provided via the data repository and/or CRIS. | | |
| Persistent identification for datasets | | Provide a link to any page(s) detailing schemes used to identify digital data items (e.g., DataCite). | | |
| Data access procedures | | Provide a link to any information provided about research data access. | | |
| Secure data access | | Provide a link to any information provided about secure data access and governance. | | |
| Institutional publications repository (if it includes research data or metadata) | | Provide a link to your institutional repository homepage | | |
| Data repository for longer term access and preservation | | Provide a link to your research data repository homepage. This may be an extension of your publications repository, a separate data repository or a pointer to an external data repository service (E.g., Zenodo). | | |

dcc.ac.uk



http://www.dcc.ac.uk/projects/opd-for-rdm

Capability models

- ANDS Capability Maturity Model <u>www.ands.org.au/guides/capability-maturity</u>
- Data Audit Framework
 <u>https://researchdata.jiscinvolve.org/wp/2016/11/27/finding-researchers-really-really-want-research-data-shared-service</u>
- Data Curation Profiles

http://datacurationprofiles.org

| | | | | | Level 5 | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Level 1 Initial | Level 2 Development | Level 3 Defined | Level 4 Managed | Optimised | |
| | Process is disorganised & ad hoc | Process is under development | Process is standardised, communicated | Process is managed, measured | Focus is on continuous improvement | |
| Institutional policies & procedures | Policies & procedures may be undeveloped, not up to date, and/or inconsistent. | Policies & procedures are developed & harmonised. | Policies & procedures are promulgated & absorbed into behaviours. | Policies & procedures accepted as part of the culture & subject to audit. | Policies & procedures are subject to review & improvement. | |



Common survey findings

- Sharing driven by benefits not policies (e.g. reuse potential, citation, public good etc)
- Informal, peer-to-peer sharing methods most common
 - email, dropbox, transfer on USB etc
- Lots of data held on hard drives and cloud services rather than managed university filestores
- Lack of clarity on data ownership or processes when staff leave
- There is a demand for and intention to use uni services, but low uptake in many cases so far



Service development strategy

- 1. Consider each service element individually
- 2. Assess your current capability
- 3. Define your target capability
- 4. Identify barriers and opportunities



Implementing research data policy

Edinburgh RDM roadmap example shows

www.ed.ac.uk/information-services/

about/strategy-planning/rdm-roadmap

allocate out tasks across divisions

how to break work down into sections and



Tools and services to aid in the description, deposit, and ongoing management of completed research data outputs.

Addresses RDM policy clauses 6, 7, 9, 10.

Responsible: Library & University Collections and Data Library

| Objective | Outcomes | Actions | Deliverables | Target date |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------|
| 6. To develop the data | Number of new data collections | Pilot use of Edinburgh DataShare by 2-3 re- | Case studies and use cases | Phase 0 |
| repository for enhanced | added to the repository | search groups to identify user requirements | based on piloted research | |
| deposit and discovery of | Metrics show increased use of | | groups. | |
| data collections generated | data collections in repository | | | |
| by University researchers | | Depositor Workflow and usability | Enhancements made to data | Phase 1 |
| | | | repository | |
| | | Load balancing and software upgrades | | Phase 1 |
| | | | | |
| | | SWORD remote deposit | | Phase 1 |
| | | | | |
| | | End User Interface improvements | | Phase 1 |
| | | | | |
| | | Multimedia Display | | Phase 2 |





Policy to service (DMPs)

Data Management Planning at Edinburgh

"All new research proposals must include research data management plans or protocols that explicitly address data capture, management, integrity, confidentiality, retention, sharing and publication."

- Develop a template in DMPonline based on this proposed structure / coverage
- Training module available on MANTRA
- Sample plans and advice available from RDM team
- Consideration of need for Software Management Plans



Policy to service (data storage)

Storage services at Edinburgh

"The University will provide mechanisms and services for storage, backup, registration, deposit and retention of research data assets in support of current and future access, during and after completion of research projects."

- Provision of baseline 0.5Tb/user via DataStore
- Support for backup and synchronisation on mobile devices (DataSync)
- Enhancement of existing data repository (DataShare) and new preservation service (DataVault)
- Development of a Data Asset Register



How to deliver and sustain services?

DIY or outsource?

- Prevailing institutional culture
- Access to developers / technical expertise
- Possibility for brokered deals, procurement support, regional collaborations...
- Choice that keeps options open e.g.
 OS + delivery options

Cost models

- Part of core institutional provision (overheads)
- Direct costs for research facilities / services
- Charges on research grants e.g. deposit fees
- Grant funding for certain services

https://unlockingresearch.blog.lib.cam.ac.uk/?p=631



Stakeholder mappings

Stakeholder Analysis







Digital Research Services (DRS)

CC

- DataStore
- EDDIE
- Archer
- Eleanor
- Data Safe Haven
- DataShare
- DataSync
- SubVersion /Gitlab
- Digimap
- Digital Imaging
- ... and many others!







Software Sustainability Institute

EDINA Innovation into Service





Digital Research Services is a programme to underpin and transform research with an integrated set of comprehensive Digital **Research Services** in partnership with DCC, Edina and Data Library, **EPCC**, IS Research Services, IS Research Data Service and Software Sustainability Institute (SSI).



EDINA Innovation into Service BEFORE WY RESEARCH DURING STORE

Towards the greater discoverability of digital research services at the University of Edinburgh









Data Safe Haven





DataStore







DataSync





DataShare







DataVault





Research Facilitators



Adapted from L. Otty





Thank you!

With thanks to Magdalena Getler,

Robin Rice and Lisa Otty

In collaboration with:

